### pesi Avaliable Copy

PTO/SB/64 (07-05) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION	N FOR	PATENT
ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 3		

Docket Number (Optional)

First named inventor: STEVE THORNE

Application No.: 10/602,451

Filed: 06/24/2003

Art Unit: 3661

Examiner: YONEL BEAULIEU

Title: SPEED-MONITORING RADAR-ACTIVATED BRAKE LIGHT

Attention: Office of Petitions

**Mail Stop Petition** 

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FAX (571) 273-8300

NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (571) 272-3282.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained.

#### APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION

NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:

- (1) Petition fee:
- (2) Reply and/or issue fee:
- (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications, and
- (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.

1.Petition fe	ee all entity-fee \$ <u>150</u> (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.
	er than small entity – fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17(m))
2. Reply and	d/or fee
Λ.	The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of NOTICE OF APPEAL (identify type of reply):
	(identify type of reply):
	has been filed previously on 9 JAN 2006 is enclosed herewith.
B.	The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$ has been paid previously on is enclosed herewith.

[Page 1 of 2]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

)3/03/2006 TBESHAH1 0000010 10602451

PTO/SB/64 (07-05)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee	
Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 199	95, no terminal disclaimer is required.
A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ _ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of tin PTO/SB/63).	65_ for a small entity or \$ne is enclosed herewith (see
4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a quest abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) we subsections (III)(C) and (D)).]	[NOTE: The United States Patent and ion as to whether either the
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Cred included on this form. Provide credit card information and aut	horization on PTO-2038.
Signature	27 FEB. 2006 Date
STEVE THORNE Typed or printed name	
Typed or printed name	Registration Number, if applicable
3315 GRAND AVE.	(510) 836-1770 Telephone Number
OAKLAND CA 94610	Telephone Number
Address	
Enclosures: Fee Payment	
∠ Reply	
Terminal Disclaimer Form	
Additional sheets containing statements establishing u	ınintentional delay
Other:	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMICCION	1107 OFD 4 0/eV
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION	[37 CFR 1.8(a)]
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:  Deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Ma Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	e shown below with sufficient il Stop Petition, Commissioner for
Transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the Ur Office as (571) 273-8300.	nited States Patent and Trademark
27 FEB 2006 Date	signature
STEVIE	THORNE
	me of person signing certificate

# MAR 0 2 2006 the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Appn. Number:

10/602,451

Appn. Filed:

06/24/2003

Applicant:

Steve Thorne

Title:

Speed-Monitoring Radar-Activated Brake Light

Examiner:

Yonel Beaulieu /AU3661

Attention: Office of Petitions

27 February 2006

**Mail Stop Petition** 

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please consider the following remarks pertaining to above-identified application and submitted to supplement completed form PTO/SB/64.

## PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137 (b)

#### **STATEMENT**

Pro se applicant has endeavored to respond to all PTO correspondence in a timely manner, but unknowingly and unintentionally let the application fall into abandonment due to reasonable miss-interpretation of a communication with the PTO Invention Assistance Center and the Examiner. Applicant assumes full responsibility for this error in interpretation, but believes the extenuating circumstances described below give justification for consideration of the granting of this Petition for Revival.

The original patent application was filed on 6 June 2003, and all subsequent documentation and correspondence between applicant and the PTO was filed timely until Applicant's Response to the Office Action Summary mailed 7 July 2005.

The Office Action Summary, wherein Examiner found the application NOT in a condition for allowance, was a Final Action and mailed to Applicant on 7 April 2005. On 7 July 2005 applicant placed his Response in the US Mail, with the proper postage and proper Certificate of Mailing. At that point, applicant was in belief that his filing was timely. However, applicant addressed said Response to the return address listed on the envelope and stationary used by the Examiner; Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, District of Columbia 20231. Applicant was not aware of the change in PTO mailing address at that time. The US Mail returned applicant's response approximately one month later. Applicant believed that the miss-delivery was in error. Applicant again checked that the address on the Response did indeed match the return address on the PTO envelope and subsequently sent the documents back to the PTO via Express Mail. This envelope was returned to applicant.

Applicant then tried to contact the Examiner by calling the phone number listed in the PTO correspondence for that Examiner (703) 305-8233, and found the number disconnected with no forwarding number. After tracking down a new phone number (571) 272-6955, applicant left three messages for the Examiner asking for information as to direction, but did not receive a response. On 2 September 2005, applicant phoned the Invention Assistance Center and was given a new address for mailing: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450. Applicant also asked if he would need to file a request for a Petition for Extension of Time and was told by the Invention Assistance Center that such a petition would not be needed as long as the original Certificate of Mailing was filed timely. Accordingly, on that same day, 2 September 2005, applicant resent his Response to the Second Office Action to the corrected address together with photocopies of the postmarked envelopes and a letter explaining the events. (Copies of this exchange have been attached herein.)

At that point, applicant believed the application to be in conformance with all PTO time requirements. Six weeks later, applicant received an 'Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief' (mailed 12 October 2005), wherein the Examiner informs

applicant that his application fails to be placed in a condition of allowance, and outlines the options open to applicant: '...applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition of allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination'. That same advisory action document informs applicant that 'The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.' Because the Advisory Action was mailed to applicant 6 months and one week after the 'final *action*' of the Office Action Summary (mailed 7 April 2005 – and thus already past the expiration date), applicant assumed the term 'final *rejection*' applied to the recently received Advisory Action. Applicant's belief was further reinforce by the fact that the Examiner made no reference to the application already being past the expiration date, and the statements of the Invention Assistance Center indicating that no time extension was required.

Believing that the period for timely response was three months after the 12 October 2005 Advisory Action, applicant filed a Notice of Appeal on 9 January 2006. Two days later, applicant received a Notice of Abandonment for "failure to reply' to the 7 April 2005 office letter.

Applicant repeats his statement that the above stated sequence of events was initially caused by his own mistake, but that the communications with the PTO led to his reasonable belief that his documents were all filed timely in conformance with the PTO schedules. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that this Petition for Revival be granted.

Very respectfully,

Steve Thorne, Applicant Pro Se

3315 Grand Avenue, Oakland CA 94610,

Tel. (510) 836-1770; e-mail: theshack@sbcglobal.net

MAILED FROM ZIF CODE 22314

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Organization 12 3600 Bldg./Room\_U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, DC 20231
IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

Bldg./Room\_

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. Dep. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

			_	www.aupto.gov	
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/602,451	06/24/2003	Steve Thorne		9357
	Amma	7590 04:07/2005		EXAM	IINER
	STEVE THO 3315 GRAND			BEAULIE	U. YONEL
	OAKLAND,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OIPE	4			3661	
	Sig.			DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	5
MAR. 0 ?	5006 Å)			571-5	272-69:
y.		·		<i>2</i> (	a DHING
RAPE	- 3 M			6/00	5W Y277
P	lease find below	and/or attached an Off	fice communication concerning th	is application or pro	oceeding.
		¢.	i		Land
No 1	MBSAGE	)	<b>:</b>	No	UT THERE
SPAZ	x fre	n fro			of THERE
			<b>V</b>		
8/2	9./08		PHONE		
	10A	nswoning	GEN INTO:	20	
CAC		n5WUNING	6EN INTO:	CHIMINGS.	•
1051	601 17	. •	-(703-) 308	= 435=	7—
MACI	HINE	20.20			
0125	'o' POR	26/0/NWTS	Gara All V	15	1 0001
1100		NONE VISCONNETS	PROM 4110/12/05	e (-	- CAUC
6410			From 411 0/12/05 LEFT A ME ) (571) 277	1558466 ABKI1	US WITERE
			) ( = ) = =	1200	MAIL
		_	) <i>(5</i> 71 <i>)</i> 7 7 7 7	1000	,
		<b>L</b> . 0.		(703	\
		THEY	STILL		)
/ No.	2 cms.	1,51	475 # A5-D)	205	
1	(an)		117 117		
		-41	A (	407	2
Oll .	rest que 1	914 1:17 -	/ , , , ,	-	
140	+04*				
			" Notal IN"		
			SENVIC		
PT	O-90C (Rev. 10/03)				

? DO I NUEVO A PETITION POR EXTENSION OF TIME 7/2/05 cm to your (571)272-6955 LEFT MESSAGE JUST CIKE BERONE I WILL RETURN your con us CATOR TAME ONE BUSINESS DAY! FOR mond oftions pass o Withou I PRUSS 101 -- " COMMAND CANNOT 65 completed As This 11ms THEYER LEN LAKO GIVES OUD # POR THON NOT IN SCRUTCE EXPLANID TO OPERAJOOL -TRANSFERS TO I INVENTION ASSISTENCE CONTEN!

SAMO I DON'T NOOD TO FILE PET. FOR EXT. 0,5 Tim IF I INCLUSION A TO CONT. 05 MAIL'6. GAME ME NON BODIESS.

SIPEMBNED 4/7/05	<del>ኔ</del>	
3	Application No.	Applicant(s)
MAR 0 2 2006	10/602,451	THORNE, STEVE
foffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
RADEMAN	Yonel Beaulieu	3661
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	<u> 4 February 2005</u> .	
_	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		
closed in accordance with the practice under	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	•	· ———
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li		agaivad
	st of the certified copies flot in	acerveu.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050104

#### Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** 10/602.451 THORNE, STEVE Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner Art Unit Yonel Beaulieu 3661 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. \_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_

was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

#### **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

□ BLACK BURDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
Потикр.

### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.